TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 & 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. & 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
CV 10-05446 EMC	12/1/10	450 Golden Gate Avenue, P.O. Box 36060, San Francisco, CA 94102			
PLAINTIFF RAMBUS INC		DEFENDANT LSI CORPORATION			
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
I see Complaint					
2 19 tote.					
3		63.6			
4					
5					

In the above-entitled ease, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY			
	☐ Amendment	☐ Answer	☐ Cross Bill	Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
1				
2				
3		1		
4				
5				

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued DECISION/JUDGEMENT

CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
Richard W. Wieki	ng Sheila Rash	December 1, 2010

In November 2008, LSI informed Rambus that it would not pursue further license negotiations. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that, despite its refusal to

negotiate a license, LSI continues to knowingly infringe the Asserted Patents. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has sold or offered to sell its Accused Products to third parties who incorporate the Accused Products into their own products. Those third parties in turn have made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported and/or continue to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import their own products in the United States. These activities undertaken by the third parties constitute acts of direct infringement of the Asserted 8 Patents. The memory controllers and peripheral interfaces in LSI's Accused Products are known by LSI to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the Asserted Patents and 10 are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. LSI 11 has thereby contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of the Asserted Patents.

Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that, by its sales and/or offers for sale of the Accused Products to third parties, LSI also has induced and continues to induce acts by third parties that LSI knew or should have known would constitute direct infringement of the Asserted Patents. LSI actively induces infringement of the Asserted Patents by designing the Accused Products to be capable of infringement and by promoting and encouraging the use of its products by the third parties in ways that infringe the Asserted Patents.

Rambus is entitled to recover from LSI the actual damages it sustained as a result of 52 LSI's wrongful acts alleged herein under 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount to be proven at trial, together with interest and costs.

Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI's infringement of 22 the Asserted Patents as set forth herein has been and is willful, deliberate and in disregard of 23 Rambus's patent rights, and Rambus is therefore entitled to increased damages up to three times the 24 amount of actual damages and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284, 285. 25

LSI's infringement of the Asserted Patents will continue to damage Rambus, causing 26 54 irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless it is enjoined by this Court.

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,034,918 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 54 above as though fully restated herein.
- 56. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '918 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '918
- Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '918 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

COUNT II

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,038,195 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 56 above as though fully restated herein.
- 58. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '195 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using,
- offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products
 consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power
- consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to
- literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '195

 Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '195 Patent, literally and/or under

7 the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

28

4

8

10

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

COUNT V

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,426,916 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 62 above as though fully restated herein.
- 64. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '916 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, officing to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '916 Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '916 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

COUNT VI

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,564,281 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 64 above as though fully restated herein.
- 66. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '281 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '281 Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '281 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

3

4

5

8

o

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

24

COUNT VII

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,584,037 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 66 above as though fully restated herein.
- 68. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '037 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including DDR2 and/or DDR3 memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this dDDR2 and/or DDR3 memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '037 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

COUNT VIII

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,715,020 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- 69. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 68 above as though fully restated herein.
 - 70. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '020 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including SDR, and/or DDR-type memory controllers, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '020 Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '020 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

3

4

5

8

Q

10

11

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

COUNT IX

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,751,696 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 70 above as though fully restated herein.
- 72. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSE: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '696 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '696 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '696 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

COUNT X

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,209,997 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 72 above as

though fully restated herein.

74. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSE: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '997 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States; and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '997 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '997 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

28 | 12428089-1

5

6

8

q

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

73

Complaint for Patent Infringement and Jury Demand Case No.

COUNT XI

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,405 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 74 above as though fully restated herein.
- 76. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '405 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing. Accused Products consisting of or including DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '405 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '405 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

COUNT XII

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,591,353 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 76 above as though fully restated herein.
- 78. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '353 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '353 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induced to the states, and the states are the states and the states and the states are the states and the states are the states and the states and the states are the states and the states and the states are the states are the states and the states are the states are the states and the states are the states are the states and the states are the states are the states and the states are the states are the states are the states are the states and the states are the states and the states are the states are

Complaint for Patent Infringement and Jury Demand

4

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

12

19

20

21

22

24

25

COUNT XIII

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,287,109 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 78 above as 79 though fully restated herein.
- Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '109 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '109 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '109 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

COUNT XIV

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,542,555 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 80 above as though fully restated herein.
- Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '555 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '555 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '555 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

3

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

81.

COUNT XV

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,099,404 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 82 above as though fully restated herein.
- 84. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '404 Paent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '404 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '404 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

COUNT XVI

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,580,474 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 84 above as
- though fully restated herein. 18 Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed 19 86 and continues to infringe claims of the '474 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, 20 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing 21 Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has 23 contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the 24 doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '474 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to 25 actively induce others to infringe claims of the '474 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of 26 equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

28

3

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

COUNT XVII

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,602,857 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 86 above as though fully restated herein.
- 88. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues so infringe claims of the '857 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '857 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '857 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

COUNT XVIII

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,602,858 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 88 above as
- though fully restated herein.

 90. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infiringe claims of the '858 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '858 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '858 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

5

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

COUNT XIX

(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,715,494 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et. seq.)

- Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 90 above as though fully restated herein.
- 92. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '494 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '494 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '494 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Rambus asks this Court to enter judgment in its favor against LSI and grant the following relief:

- A. An adjudication that LSI has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted Patents as alleged above;
- B. An accounting of all damages sustained by Rambus as a result of LSI's acts of infringement of the Asserted Patents;
- C. An award to Rambus of actual damages adequate to compensate Rambus for LSPs acts of patent infringement, together with prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- D. An award to Rambus of enhanced damages, up to and including trebling of Rambus's damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 for LSI's willful infringement of the Asserted

Rambus's damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 for LSI's willful infringement of the Asserted Patents;

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

GREGORY P. STONE (SBN 078329)
gregory.stone@mto.com
HEATHER E. TAKAHASHI (SBN 245845)
heather.takahashi@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
Telephone: (213) 683-9100



Facsimile: (213) 687-3702

PETER A. DETRE (SBN 182619)

peter.detre@mto.com

peter.aetre@ma.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077

E-filing

Attorneys for Plaintiff

10

13

14

16

18

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA E_{MC}

RAMBUS INC.,

Plaintiff,

CASE 10 5446

LSI CORPORATION,

v

Defendant.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

12428089-1

Complaint for Patent Infringement and Jury Demand Case No.

u	E. An award of Rambus's costs of suit and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35					
2	U.S.C. § 285 due to the exceptional nature of this case, or as otherwise permitted by law;					
3	F. A grant of a permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining LSI, and					
4	each of its agents, servants, employees, principals, officers, attorneys, successors, assignees, and all					
5	those in active concert or participation with LSI, including related individuals and entities,					
6	customers, representatives, OEMs, dealers, and distributors from further acts of (1) infringement, (2					
7	contributory infringement, and (3) active inducement to infringe with respect to the claims of the					
8	Asserted Patents;					
9	 G. Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper. 					
0	VII.					
1	JURY DEMAND					
2	Plaintiff Rambus requests a jury trial on all issues triable to a jury in this matter.					
3						
4	Respectfully Submitted,					
5	DATED: December 1, 2010 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP					
6						
7	By: Peter Detre					
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
9	RAMBUS INC.					
0:						
1						
2						
13						
!4						
25						

Plaintiff Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") states the following as its Complaint against Defendant LSI Corporation ("LSI"):

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff Rambus is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 1050 Enterprise Way, Sunnyvale, California 94089.

Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that defendant LSI is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 1621 Barber Lane, Milpitas, California 95035. Upon information and belief, LSI has substantial contacts and transacts substantial business, either directly or through its agent, on an ongoing basis in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

Unless specifically stated otherwise, the acts complained of herein were committed by, on behalf of, and/or for the benefit of LSI.

П.

This is an action for patent infringement.

NATURE OF THE ACTION Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has been and/or is 5

infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and/or actively inducing others to infringe claims of U.S. Patent No. 6.034.918 (the "'918 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6.038,195 (the "'195 Patent"), U.S.

Patent No. 6,260,097 (the "'097 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,304,937 (the "'937 Patent"), U.S. Patent 20 No. 6,426,916 (the "'916 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,470,405 (the "'405 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 21

6.542.555 (the "'555 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,564,281 (the "'281 Patent"), U.S. Patent No.

6,584,037 (the "'037 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,591,353 (the "'353 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 23 6,715,020 (the "'020 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,751,696 (the "'696 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 24

7.099.404 (the "'404 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 7,209,997 (the "'997 Patent"), and U.S. Patent No. 25 7.287,109 (the "'109 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 7,580,474 (the "'474 Patent"), U.S. Patent No.

7.602,857 (the "'857 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 7,602,858 (the "'858 Patent"), and U.S. Patent No.

7,715,494 (the "'494 Patent") (collectively the "Asserted Patents"). Complaint for Patent Infringement

6

8

10

11

13

14

16

18

19

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

 This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., including 35 U.S.C. § 271. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over LSI because LSI has substantial contacts and conducts business in the State of California and in this judicial district, and has been infringing, contributing to the infringement of and/or actively inducing others to infringe claims of the Asserted Patents in California and elsewhere.

8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), 1391(d) and/or 1400(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Rambus's claims occurred in the Northern District of California and because LSI is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of California.

IV.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. Rambus

3

4

6

8

10

11

14

16

18

19

20

21

23

24 25

26

27

28

9. Rambus is one of the world's leading designers of semiconductor interface technologies that are used in a broad range of consumer, computing, and communications applications. In addition to the development of high-speed interfaces, Rambus's breakthrough technology and unparalleled engineering expertise have solved the most challenging interface

problems and have brought industry-leading products to market. Rambus's interface solutions have enabled state-of-the-art performance in many products, such as personal computers, workstations, servers, gaming consoles, televisions, Blu-ray players, set-top boxes, printers, video projectors, network switches, moderns, routers, mobile phones, and graphics cards.

 In the late 1980s, Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz, the founders of Rambus, recognized and set out to solve the "memory bottleneck problem," the failure of memory interfaces

to keep pace with the exponential growth in microprocessor speed. Farmwald and Horowitz's inventions enabled a dynamic random access memory (DRAM) memory architecture that achieved

data transmission rates of 500 megahertz, at a time when other DRAM chips were running in the range of 20-30 megahertz.

- 11. Since its founding in 1990, Rambus has continued to design, develop, market, and license its high speed interface technology. Rambus's inventions include new memory devices, new controllers for controlling such memory devices, and new systems incorporating those memory devices and memory controllers. Rambus's inventions also include new transmitters and receivers and interface technology.
- 12. Rambus has made large-scale investments in the exploitation of its technology and a large number of companies have paid for licenses to its patents. Rambus has granted licenses of varying scope to its technology to many of the world's largest semiconductor manufacturers.
- 13. Since 1990, Rambus has spent millions of dollars on research and development of its valuable technology. Rambus relies on the United States patent system to protect the technology resulting from its research and development. Rambus's continued success depends on its research and development of memory interface and other solutions, as well as the protection of intellectual respective in its innovative technology.

B. <u>Asserted Patents</u>

- 17 | 14. On April 18, 1990, U.S. Patent Application No. 07/510,898 was filed naming Michael
 Farmwald and Mark Horowitz as inventors. The Asserted Patents claiming priority to this
 application are referred to herein as the "Farmwald/Horowitz Patents."
- 20 15. On October 19, 1995, U.S. Patent Application No. 08/545,292 was filed naming
 21 Richard M. Barth and other individuals as inventors. The Asserted Patents claiming priority to this
 22 application are referred to berein as the "Barth Patents."
- 16. On June 20, 1997, U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/050,098 was filed, on June 23, 1997, U.S. Patent Application No. 08/880,980 was filed, and on June 25, 1997, U.S. Patent Application No. 08/882,252 was filed, each naming William J. Dally as inventor. The Asserted Patents claiming priority to these applications are referred to herein as the "Dally Patents."

28

3

8

11

13

15

1. Farmwald/Horowitz Patents

- 17. On March 7, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,034,918, titled "Method of Operating a Memory Having a Variable Data Output Length and a Programmable Register," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
- 18. On March 14, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,038,195, titled "Synchronous Memory Device Having a Delay Time Register and Method of Operating Same," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
- On July 10, 2001, U.S. Patent No. 6,260,097, titled "Method and Apparatus for Controlling a Synchronous Memory Device," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
- On October 16, 2001, U.S. Patent No. 6,304,937, titled "Method of Operation of a
 Memory Controller," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors

 Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
- 21. On July 30, 2002, U.S. Patent No. 6,426,916, titled "Memory Device Having a Variable Data Output Length and a Programmable Register," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
 - On May 13, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,564,281, titled "Synchronous Memory Device Having Automatic Precharge," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
- 23. On June 24, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,584,037, titled "Memory Device Which Samples Data After an Amount of Time Expires," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
- On March 30, 2004, U.S. Patent No. 6,715,020, titled "Synchronous Integrated Circuit Device," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
- On June 15, 2004, U.S. Patent No. 6,751,696, titled "Memory Device Having a Programmable Register," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

3

8

q

10

11

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

- On April 24, 2007, U.S. Patent No. 7,209,997, titled "Controller Device and Method for Operating Same," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.
- The Farmwald/Horowitz Patents include claims directed to improving the performance of memory controllers.
- At all relevant times, Rambus has been the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in each of the Farmwald/Horowitz Patents.

2. Barth Patents

 On October 22, 2002, U.S. Patent No. 6,470,405, titled "Protocol for Communication with Dynamic Memory," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of Richard M. Barth and the other inventors named therein.

30. On July 8, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,591,353, tilled "Protocol for Communication with Dynamic Memory," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of Richard M. Barth and the other inventors named therein.

31. On October 23, 2007, U.S. Patent No. 7,287,109, titled "Method of Controlling a Memory Device Having a Memory Core," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of Richard M. Barth and the other inventors named therein.

32. The Barth Patents include claims directed to improving the performance of memory

 At all relevant times, Rambus has been the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in each of the Barth Patents.

Dally Patents

3.

 On April 1, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,542,555, titled "Digital Transmitter With Equalization," was duly and legally issued to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT"), as assisnee of named inventor William J. Dally.

35. On August 29, 2006, U.S. Patent No. 7,099,404, titled "Digital Transmitter," was duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.

4

5

6

8

q

10

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

- On August 25, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,580,474, titled "Digital Transmitter," was 36 duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.
- On October 13, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,602,857, titled "Digital Transmitter," was duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.
- On October 13, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,602,858, titled "Digital Transmitter," was 38 duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.
- On May 11, 2010, U.S. Patent No. 7,715,494, titled "Digital Transmitter," was duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.
- The Dally Patents include claims directed to improving the performance of digital communications.
- At all relevant times, the Dally Patents have been owned by MIT. MIT has exclusively licensed the Dally Patents to Rambus, subject only to any rights retained by the United States federal government pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 201-211, with the right to sublicense, and the 13 right to prosecute any past, present, or future infringement of the Dally Patents. 14

LSI's Acts of Infringement

3

5

6

8

9 10

11

16

18

19

20

28

- Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has made, used, 42 sold, imported and/or offered for sale, and/or continued to make, use, sell, import and/or offer for sale, products in the United States consisting of or including DRAM memory controllers, including SDR (Single Data Rate) memory controllers, DDR-type memory controllers (including DDR or Double Data Rate memory controllers, DDR2 or Double Data Rate 2 memory controllers, and DDR3 or Double Data Rate 3 memory controllers), and mobile and/or low power versions of the aforementioned memory controllers.
- Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has made, used, 23 sold, imported and/or offered for sale, and/or continued to make, use, sell, import and/or offer for 24 sale, products in the United States consisting of or including high-speed SerDes interfaces, including 25 PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces. 26
 - The aforementioned LSI products are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Accused Products." The Accused Products include at least products that are part of, for example, Complaint for Patent Infringement and Jury Demand 12428389 1

Case No.

LSI's storage (e.g., MegaRAID, LSISAS RAID-on-Chip, and TrueStore) and networking (e.g., APP, and Tarari) product lines.

LSI's making, use, sale, offers for sale, and/or importation of the Accused Products in 45.

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

28

and the '405 Patent by LS1 products.

Patent by LSI products.

- the United States constitute acts of direct infringement of the Asserted Patents. In December 2005, Rambus met with LSI to discuss the companies' business 46 strategies (including reviewing Rambus's technology and patent licensing business model) and possible future engagements between Rambus and LSI. In January 2006, Rambus contacted LSI to schedule meetings to discuss potential technology engagements and a potential license to Rambus patents. Rambus followed up with LSI by telephone and email in February 2006 regarding its unlicensed use of Rambus's inventions. Rambus informed LSI that it could find an overview of Rambus patents and innovations on Rambus's website, and that it would like to meet to discuss LSI's need for a patent license for use of technologies such as those LSI used in its products containing memory interfaces. A further meeting was scheduled, and on or about April 21, 2006, Rambus provided information to LSI regarding the infringement of the '195 Patent, the '097 Patent, the '937 Patent, the '916 Patent, the '281 Patent, the '037 Patent, the '020 Patent, the '696 Patent,
- Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has known of the '555 patent and other patents in the Dally patent family since at least early 2004.
- Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI's knowing infringement has continued despite further information regarding infringement provided by Rambus in the course of attempting to negotiate a license with LSI that would fairly compensate Rambus for LSI's use of patents owned or exclusively licensed by Rambus. For example, on or about May 31,
- 2006, Rambus provided information to LSI regarding the infringement of the '195 Patent, the '097 Patent, the '937 Patent, the '916 Patent, the '281 Patent, the '037 Patent, the '020 Patent, the '696 24 Patent, and the '405 Patent by LSI products. On or about October 5, 2007, Rambus provided 25
- information to LSI regarding the infringement of the '195 Patent, the '097 Patent, the '937 Patent, 26 the '916 Patent, the '281 Patent, the '020 Patent, the '696 Patent, the '997 Patent, and the '405 27